IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR (M.P.)

W.P. No. 6148/2000. (Service Matter)

PETITIONER: JILLA SEHKARI KENDRIYA BANK AMBIKAPUR(SARGUJA) THROUGH ITS MANAGER.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. **PURSHOTTAM SHARMA**
   Ex-Samiti Prabandhak
   Distt. Coop. Central Bank Ltd.

2. ASSTT. REGISTRAR COOP..
   SOCIETIES
   AMBIKAPUR.

3. JOINT REGISTRAR COOP.
   SAMITIES BILASPUR.

4. REGISTRAR M.P. STATE
   COOP. TRIBUNAL BHOPAL.

UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(67)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.No.6148/2005... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

24.08.2005

Mr. Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Yogesh Pandey, learned counsel for respondent No.1.

I.A.No. 573 of 2004

This is an application for urgent hearing.

The application is disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 21.06.2000 (Annexure P-4) passed by M.P. State Cooperative Tribunal, Bhopal in S.A.No. 372 of 1999, the Tribunal while allowing the appeal directed the petitioner to conduct a fresh enquiry against the respondent on the charges contained in the chargesheets dated 20.04.1993, 23.06.1994 and 22.10.1995 after affording the respondent one more opportunity to file his reply to the above charges. As the respondent had not filed any reply to the said charges. It was further directed that the entire enquiry to be completed within six months from the date of receipt of the copy of the order dated 21.06.2000. It was also directed that in case the enquiry is not completed within the above mentioned period and the respondent is not found guilty he should be reinstated back into the service. The question of back wages was left to be decided on the basis of the enquiry. No step has been taken by the petitioner to complete the enquiry within the prescribed time. Stay of the impugned order was also not granted by this Court.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 submits that this petition be not entertained on the simple ground that the petitioner has not complied with the order of the Cooperative Tribunal in time.

On perusal of the papers, I found that the petition was filed on 18.01.2000 thereafter the petitioner has not taken any step to remove the

(68)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.No.6148/200 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश –2–	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Sarma	defects as no one for the petitioner appeared before Additional Registrar (J) on 04.02.2003, 26.02.2003, 27.03.2003 and before the Court on 10.07.2003 as such the matter could not be taken up for hearing. It seems the petitioner was not interested in prosecuting this matter. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands dismissed. No order as to costs. Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge	